arXiv:1404.1702v1 [math.DS] 7 Apr 2014

An answer to Hammerlindl's question on strong unstable foliations

Ren Yan* Shaobo Gan† Pengfei Zhang ‡

April 8, 2014

Abstract

Let $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ be a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3$. In his thesis, Hammerlindl proved that for lifted center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c_f$, there exists $R > 0$, such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{F}^c_f(x) \subset B_R(x + E^c)$, where $\mathbb{R}^3 = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ is the partially hyperbolic splitting of the linear model of f. The same is true for the lifted center-stable and center-unstable foliations. Then he asked if the this property is true for strong stable and strong unstable foliations. In this note, we give a negative answer to Hammerlindl's question.

Let M be a compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold without boundary. A diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is said to be a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism (abbrev. PHD), if there exists Tf-invariant splitting $TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ and constants $C \geq 1$ and

$$0 < \lambda < \hat{\gamma} < 1 < \gamma < \mu, \tag{1}$$

such that for any $x \in M, n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{array}{rlll} & |Tf^n v| & \leq C\lambda^n |v|, & \forall\, v \in E^s(x), \\ C^{-1}\hat{\gamma}^n |v| \leq & |Tf^n v| & \leq C\gamma^n |v|, & \forall\, v \in E^c(x), \\ C^{-1}\mu^n |v| \leq & |Tf^n v|, & & \forall\, v \in E^u(x). \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Denote the joint subbundles by $E^{cs} = E^c \oplus E^s$, $E^{cu} = E^c \oplus E^u$. We will use E^σ_f $(\sigma = s, c, u, cs, cu)$ to indicate the dependence on f.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China 100871. Email: renwyx379@163.com.

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China 100871. Email: gansb@pku.edu.cn.

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Universityof Massachusetts, Amherst MA 01003, USA. Email: pzhang@math.umass.edu.

Remark 1. *Recently, the definition formulated in* (2) *is called absolutely partial hyperbolicity, in contrast to another well explored formulation–pointwise partial hyperbolicity, for which the constants in* (1) *are replaced by continuous functions, and in* (2)*, by the corresponding cocycles (see [HP13a] for more details and the reference therein). We will not touch upon the difference between this two kinds of definitions in this note.*

E. Pujals had an informal conjecture about the complete classification of all partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-manifolds (see [BW05] for some recent development and improvement of the conjecture). Inspired by Pujals' conjecture, great progresses have been made very recently towards such a classification of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on various 3D manifolds. See [Ham] for the case $M = \mathbb{T}^3$, [HP13a] for 3D nilmanifolds, and [HP13b] for 3D manifolds with solvable fundamental groups.

Now let's state the question of Hammerlindl. For simplicity, we will concentrate on partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ such that $\dim E^\sigma = 1, \sigma = s, c, u$. A partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ is *dynamically coherent*, if there exist two foliations $\mathcal{F}^{cs}, \mathcal{F}^{cu}$ tangent to E^{cs}, E^{cu} respectively. Let $F = A + \phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a lift of f, where $\phi(x+\mathbf{n}) = \phi(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. According to [BBI04], if a PHD $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ is dynamically coherent, then $A : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is also a PHD (denote its splitting as $E^s_A \oplus E^c_A \oplus E^u_A$).

In his remarkable thesis [Ham], A. Hammerlindl proved that there exists $R > 0$, such that $F^\sigma_F(x) \subset B_R(x + E^\sigma)$, where $\sigma = c, cs, cu$, $\mathcal{F}^\sigma_F$ are the lifted foliations of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma_f$, and $B_R(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : d(x, y) \leq R \text{ for some } y \in A\}$ for any subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then he raised the following (see Page 15 of Thesis):

Question: Let $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ be a dynamically coherent PHD. Does there exist $R > 0$, such that $\mathcal{F}^\sigma_F(x) \subset B_R(x + E^\sigma_A)$, $\sigma = s, u$?

Remark 2. *According to [BBI09], every PHD on 3-torus is dynamically coherent.*

In this note, we will give a negative answer to Hammerlindl's question. Let $A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be an integer matrix with three different positive eigenvalues: $0 < \lambda_1 < 1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$ (replace A by A^{-1} if $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1 < \lambda_3$). Then the induced map on 3-torus, $f_A : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$, can be viewed either as an Anosov diffeomorphism, or as a PHD with an expanding center bundle E^c. For concreteness, it is easy to see that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is such a kind of matrix.

Theorem 1. *Let $A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be an integer matrix with three different positive eigenvalues: $0 < \lambda_1 < 1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. Then there exists a C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f_A*

such that for any $f \in \mathcal{U}$, if f is accessible, then for any $R > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and for any lift F of f, $\mathcal{F}^u_F(y) \not\subset B_R(x + E^u)$.

Remark 3. *Recall that a PHD f on M is said to be accessible, if for any points $p, q \in M$, there exists a piecewise smooth path moving from p to q along stable or unstable leaves of f. Note that accessibility is a C^1-open and C^∞-dense property among PHDs on 3-torus, see [DW03, Did03, RHRHU08]. Hence the above theorem answers Hammerlindl's question negatively.*

Proof of Theorem 1. It is well known that being an Anosov diffeomorphism is a C^1-open property (e.g., see [Fra70]). So is being a PHD. So we first pick a C^1-small neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of f_A, such that any $f \in \mathcal{U}$ is still Anosov and PHD. Now let $f \in \mathcal{U}$. To avoid any possible confusion, we list the following facts:

- viewing f as an Anosov system: let $\mathcal{W}^s_f(x)$ and $\mathcal{W}^u_f(x)$ be the stable and unstable manifolds of f at x.
- viewing f as a PHD: Let $\mathcal{F}^s_f$ and $\mathcal{F}^u_f$ be the strong stable and strong unstable foliations of f.
- viewing f as a perturbation of a PHD with linear center foliation: f is dynamically coherent (see [HPS77]). Let $\mathcal{F}^{cs}_f$ and $\mathcal{F}^{cu}_f$, and $\mathcal{F}^c_f$ be the center-stable, center-unstable and center foliations.
- the relation between the different viewpoints: $\mathcal{F}^s_f = \mathcal{W}^s_f$ and $\mathcal{F}^{cu}_f = \mathcal{W}^u_f$.

By the structural stability of Anosov systems, there exists uniquely a topological conjugacy $h : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ close to identity between f and f_A, i.e., $h \circ f = f_A \circ h$. Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ the standard universal covering map: i.e., if identifying $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$, π is just the quotient map. Let $F, H : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ be lifts of f, h, i.e., $\pi F = f\pi$, $\pi H = h\pi$. Moreover, we can pick F and H such that $H \circ F = A \circ H$.

Let $z = H^{-1}(0)$. Then $H \circ F(z) = A \circ H(z) = A(0) = 0$ and hence $F(z) = z$. Since H is a conjugacy between Anosov systems, $H(\mathcal{F}^{cu}_F(z)) = \mathcal{F}^{cu}_A(0)$.

Lemma 1. *If $H(\mathcal{F}^u_F(z)) \subset \mathcal{F}^u_A(0)$, then $H(\mathcal{F}^u_F(x)) = \mathcal{F}^u_A(Hx)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Since H is a homeomorphism, $0 \in H(\mathcal{F}^u_F(z))$ and $\mathcal{F}^u_A(0)$ is A-invariant, we have $H(\mathcal{F}^u_F(z)) = \mathcal{F}^u_A(0)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}^u_f(p, r) = \{q \in \mathcal{F}^u_f(p) : d_u(q, p) \le r\}$, where d_u is the metric along $\mathcal{F}^u_f$. Similarly, we can define $\mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(p, r)$, $\mathcal{F}^u_F(x, r)$, $\mathcal{F}^u_A(x, r)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}^u_f(p, r)$ varies continuously with respect to the point p. Following is a special fact due to the linearity of A:

Fact. For any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $\mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(p)$ is dense in $\mathbb{T}^3$.

According to the above fact, there exists a dense subset $S \triangleq H^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(0))$ such that for any $r > 0$ and $p \in S$, $h(\mathcal{F}^u_f(p, r)) \subset \mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(h(p))$. By the continuity of $\mathcal{F}^u$, we

have that for any $r > 0$ and any $p \in \mathbb{T}^3$, $h(\mathcal{F}^u_f(p,r)) \subset \mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(h(p))$, which implies $h(\mathcal{F}^u_f(p)) = \mathcal{F}^u_{f_A}(h(p))$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 1. If the assumption of the lemma were satisfied, combing with the fact that $h(\mathcal{F}^s_f(p)) = \mathcal{F}^s_{f_A}(h(p))$, we see that $\mathcal{F}(p) =: h^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^{su}_{f_A}(h(p)))$ forms a foliation such that for any $q \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, $\mathcal{F}^u_f(q), \mathcal{F}^s_f(q) \subset \mathcal{F}(p)$. In particular, f can't accessible.

If f is accessible, then above argument implies that the hypothesis of Lemma 1 is not satisfied. Therefore there exists a point $x \in \mathcal{F}^u_F(z)$ such that $H(x) \notin E^u_A$. Since $H(\mathcal{W}^u_F(z)) = \mathcal{W}^u_A(0)$, we have $d(H(F^n x), E^u_A) \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$. This implies that for any $R > 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $H(\mathcal{F}^u_F(z)) \not\subset B_R(x + E^u_A)$. Since $d(H, Id)$ is bounded, we conclude that for any $R > 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{F}_F(z) \not\subset B_R(x+E^u_A)$. □

References

[BW05] C. Bonatti and A. Wilkinson, Transitive partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-manifolds. *Topology* **44** (2005), 475–508.

[BBI04] M. Brin, D. Burago, and S. Ivanov, On partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of 3-manifolds with commutative fundamental groups. In *Modern Dynamical Systems and Application*, Cambridge University Press, 2004, 307–312.

[BBI09] M. Brin, D. Burago, and S. Ivanov, Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on the 3-torus. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, **3** (2009), 1–11.

[BW10] K. Burns and A. Wilkinson, On the ergodicity of partially hyperbolic systems. *Annals of Math.* **171** (2010), 451–489.

[Did03] P. Didier, Stability of accessibility. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Syst.* **23** (2003), 1717–1731.

[DW03] D. Dolgopyat and A. Wilkinson, Stable accessibility is C^1 dense. *Asterisque* **287** (2003), 33–60.

[Fra70] J. Franks, Anosov diffeomorphisms. In *Global analysis*, volume XIV of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. (Berkeley 1968)*, 61–93. Amer. Math. Soc., 1970.

[Ham] A. Hammerlindl, Leaf conjugacies on the torus. Ph.D Thesis, University of Toronto, 2009; *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Syst.* **33** (2013), 896–933.

[HP13a] A. Hammerlindl and R. Potrie, Pointwise partial hyperbolicity in 3-dimensional nilmanifolds. *Preprint* (2013), arXiv: 1302.0543.

[HP13b] A. Hammerlindl and R. Potrie, Classification of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms in 3-manifolds with solvable fundamental group. *Preprint* (2013), arXiv: 1307.4631.

[HPS77] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant Manifolds. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **583**, Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[RHRHU08] F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. A. Rodriguez Hertz, and R. Ures, Accessibility and stable ergodicity for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with 1D-center bundle. *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), 353–381.